

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,482	04/19/2005	Yet-Ming Chiang	14952.0307	7450
27890 7590 12/26/2007 STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP		EXAMINER		
1330 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			HAIDER, SAIRA BANO	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1796	
				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/510,482 ⁻	CHIANG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Saira Haider	1796			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 Oc</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	,			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 34-49 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is o	r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s).	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10/7/2004	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (Claims 1-33) in the reply filed on 10/16/2007 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-9, 11, 13, 15-18, 19, 21-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ruoff et al. (US 5,547,748).
- 4. Ruoff discloses the encapsulation of metal carbides inside multilayered polyhedral shells of carbon (nanoencapsulates), the resulting nanoencapsulate materials have uses as composite materials (abstract). The outer diameter of the nanoencapsulate is between 5nm and 1000nm (col. 2, lines 37-39). The nanoencapsulates comprise nested fullerenes (col. 7, lines 39-43). Ruoff discloses that the nanoencapsulates comprise a metal or metal carbide as the core material, wherein the core material fills or partially fills the innermost voids of the nanopolyhedra (col. 4, lines 10-14). Thus, it is clear that the carbon nanoparticle shell entirely covers the core material, hence meeting the claim limitation regarding the shell covering at least 50% of the surface of the core, and meeting the claim limitation regarding a particle comprising a substantially densely packed carbon nanoparticles. Ruoff discloses a nanoencapsulate comprises a metal carbide core and a clusters of single layer nanotubes arranged about the core, wherein the nanotubes extend radially outwards from the core, the core is approximately 28nm in diameter and the outer diameter (defined by the nanotubes) is approximately

Art Unit: 1796

90 nm (col. 10, lines 20-26). Thus it is clear that the nanoencapsulate is at least 2% by volume carbon nanoparticles and the shell has a thickness greater than 2.5nm. In reference to the claim limitations which specify the intended use of the claimed particles, it is noted that the Ruoff reference discloses a variety of applications for the nanoencapsulates including applications in material science, chemistry, medicine and biotechnology (col. 10, lines 50-54). The statements in the preamble reciting the intended use of the claimed invention have been evaluated to determine whether the intended use results in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art, it is the examiners position that a structural difference does not exist. Specifically, the intended use of the core-shell particle in a composite abrasive particle, structurally reinforced composite, electrochemical storage medium or hydrogen storage medium fails to result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art. Thus, since the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See MPEP § 2112.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2, 14, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruoff et 6. al. (US 5,547,748) in view of Ma et al. (Journal of Materials Science).
- 7. Claims 2, 14, and 20, specify the metal carbide as silicon carbide, Ruoff discloses a variety of suitable core metal carbide materials, but fails to disclose silicon carbide. Thus attention is directed to the Ma et al. reference. Ma discloses carbon nanotubes-nano-SiC (silicon carbide) ceramic.

10/510,482

Art Unit: 1796

Wherein nano-SiC powders and carbon nanotubes are combined to form a composite having increased bending strength and fracture toughness as compared to monolithic SiC ceramic. Ma recognizes that the CNT (carbon nanotubes) can feasibly be utilized as nano-size reinforcement in ceramics (abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to encapsulate silicon carbide in the nanoencapsulates of Ruoff in order to produce a reinforced SiC composite with improved bending strength and fracture toughness.

- 8. Claims 10, 12, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruoff et al. (US 5,547,748).
- 9. The claims specify a metal or metal oxide coating on the carbon nanoparticles, Ruoff discloses derivatizing the surface of the nanoencapsulates by applying various compounds to the exterior of the nanoencapsulates (col. 11, lines 8-60). Wherein Ruoff fails to disclose metal or metal oxide compounds as suitable surface compounds, however, Ruoff discloses the use of iron oxide particles in floppy disks (col. 12, lines 18-24). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to coat the surface of the nanoencapsulates with a metal oxide compound thus resulting in the formation of magnetic material suitable for use as magnetic recording media.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saira Haider whose telephone number is (571) 272-3553. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1796

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like

assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Saira Haider Examiner

Art Unit 1796

HANDT GULAKOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700